UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,062	08/06/2003	Christian Maciocco	42.P17373	1018

7590   06/15/2007

R. Alan Burnett
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER
BELLO, AGUSTIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2613	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/636,062	MACIOCCO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Agustin Bello	2613	

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 March 2007</u>.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: _____.

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :12/8/06, 12/19/06, 3/23/07, 4/10/07.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1.   The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification includes a embedded web address..

Appropriate correction is required.

2.   The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.   Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pung (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0150099) in view of Xiong (U.S. Patent No. 6,671,256).

Regarding claim 1, 20, 28, and 31, Pung teaches a method for establishing a coarse-grained reservation of a lightpath traversing a plurality of connected lightpath segments between source and destination nodes in an optical switched network, comprising: making a soft reservation of node resources supporting respective path segments from among the plurality of path segments (paragraph [0019]), the soft reservation of the node resources corresponding to a scheduled time period for which the path is requested to be reserved;

determining if adequate node resources are available for reservation during the scheduled time period to support traversal of the entire path (paragraph [0049]); and making a hard reservation of the node resources corresponding to the scheduled time period if adequate node resources are determined to be available (paragraph [0019]). Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to specifically teach that the method is applicable to lightpaths. However, Xiong teaches that applying a reservation method to a plurality of light paths is well known in the art (column 2 lines 13-25, column 7 lines 22-35, Figure 7). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to apply Pung's reservation method to Xiong's plurality of lightpaths in order to efficiently route multicast signals according to multiple QoS constraints (paragraph [0014] of Pung). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Pung's reservation method to Xiong's plurality of lightpaths.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 22, 23, and 34, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to specifically teach that the optical switched network comprises a photonic burst switched network or a wavelength division multiplexed PBS network. However, both types of optical switched networks are well known in the art and Official Notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ Pung's reservation methodology to a photonic burst switched network or a wavelength division multiplexed PBS network in order to efficiently route multicast signals according to multiple QoS constraints (paragraph [0014]).

Regarding claims 4 and 32, Pung teaches storing resource reservation data at each node, including resource reservation status indicia indicating whether a resource has a corresponding soft or hard reservation (paragraph [0044], paragraph [0048], paragraph [0057]; reference numeral S508 in Figure 5A), and time values specifying the start and end of the scheduled time

period (inherent in a reservation of Pung and further taught by Xiong column 4 lines 66-67;

column 5 lines 1-15; column 6 lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 5 and 27, Pung teaches passing a resource reservation request message

between the nodes connected to the lightpath segments in a downstream traversal of the

lightpath (paragraph [0029], paragraph [0042]), the resource reservation request message

including resource reservation information (e.g. "QoS constraints" in paragraph [0042]);

extracting the resource reservation information from the resource reservation request message

(e.g. inherent in "constraints are tested" of paragraph [0042]); determining, based on existing

resource reservation data for a given node, whether adequate resources are available during

the scheduled time period (e.g. "ensure that a multicast path satisfying the QoS constraints may

include this node" of paragraph [0042]); and making a soft reservation for a node resource

the resource is determined to be available for the scheduled time period (e.g. "tentatively

reserved" in paragraph [0042]).

Regarding claims 6, 7, and 24, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung

fails to specifically teach the use of GMPLS based labels. However, the use of these labels are

well known in the art and Official Notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would

have been motivated to employ a GMPLS based label in order to provide a framework for

dynamic provisioning of connection in the optical network. Therefore, it would have been

obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use GMPLS labels in the

system of Pung.

Regarding claims 8, 11, 25, and 26, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung

fails to specifically teach that the resource reservation request message comprises a Path/Resv

message having a format based on an extension to the RSVP-TE (ReSerVation Protocol - Traffic

Engineering) signaling protocol. However, PATH/RESV messages based on extensions to the

RSVP-TE protocol are well known in the art and Official notice is given to that effect. One

skilled in the art would have been motivated to use PATH/RESV messages in order to allow for

bandwidth reservation in a peer-to-peer environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use PATH/RESV messages having a

format based on an extension to the RSVP-TE in the system of Pung.

Regarding claim 9, Pung teaches that the resource request information includes data

defining the scheduled time period (inherent in the reservation system employed by Pung).

Regarding claim 10, Pung teaches passing a resource reservation response message

(e.g. "confirmation" in paragraph [0029], paragraph [0043]) between the nodes coupled to the

lightpath segments in an upstream traversal of the lightpath, the resource reservation request

message including resource reservation response information (inherent); extracting, at each

node, the resource reservation response information from the resource reservation response

message; and changing, at each node, the soft reservation for the node resource to a

hard reservation (e.g. "confirmed" in paragraph [0047], paragraph [0058]).

Regarding claim 12, Pung teaches building a list of potential lightpaths between the

source and destination nodes (e.g. "Req (A, x, y)" in Figure 12a); selecting a first potential

lightpath in the list (e.g. "Req (A, a, b)"; determining if sufficient resources are available to

reserve node resources supporting lightpath segments defined by the first potential lightpath for

the scheduled time period (e.g. QoS test of paragraph [0100]); and processing a next potential

lightpath in the list (e.g. "Req(A,b,d)" in Figure 12A) to determine if sufficient resources are

available to reserve node resources supporting lightpath segments defined by the next

lightpath for the scheduled time period if it is determined that resources supporting the

lightpath segments of the first potential lightpath are insufficient (e.g. "Req(A,b,c)" in Figure

12A) ; and repeating the previous operation for subsequent next potential lightpaths in the list

until either a lightpath having sufficient resources is identified (e.g. "Selected Path" in Figure

12A; paragraph [0042]) or the list is exhausted (paragraph [0103]).

Regarding claim 13, Pung teaches prioritizing the potential lightpaths in the list based on

at least one transmission-related criteria (paragraph [0009] - paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claim 14, Pung teaches dynamically reprioritizing the potential lightpaths in

the list in response to a detected change in network transmission conditions (paragraph [0010],

paragraph [0044]).

Regarding claim 15, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to

specifically teach that the potential light paths are prioritized based on traffic balancing

considerations. However, prioritizing light paths based on traffic balancing considerations is

well known in the art and Official Notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would

have been motivated to prioritizing light paths based on traffic balancing considerations in order

to efficiently balance the resources of the network among a plurality of users. Therefore, it

would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

prioritizing light paths based on traffic balancing considerations.

Regarding claim 16, Pung teaches dynamically reprioritizing the potential lightpaths in

the list in response to a detected change in network topology (paragraph [0010]).

Regarding claims 17 and 33, Pung teaches that the determination of whether adequate resources are available at a given node comprises: aggregating any existing reservations for the node resource corresponding to a specified bandwidth and the scheduled time period to obtain an existing resource allocation; adding the bandwidth percentage corresponding to a resource reservation request to the existing resource allocation to obtain a requested allocation for the node resource; determining if the requested allocation exceeds a threshold (paragraph [0049]).

Regarding claim 18, Pung teaches that partial use of node resource may be reserved (e.g. part of the overall resources of the node).

Regarding claim 19, Pung teaches the partial use comprises a bandwidth percentage use of a lightpath segment (inherent in the sharing of node resources).

Regarding claim 21, Pung teaches that execution of the instructions further performs the operation of storing resource reservation data on one of the first storage device or a second storage device operatively coupled to said at least one processor, said resource reservation data including resource reservation status indicia indicating whether a resource has a corresponding soft or hard reservation (paragraph [0040], paragraph [0044-0047]), and time values specifying the start and end of the scheduled time period (inherent in a reservation of Pung and further taught by Xiong column 4 lines 66-67; column 5 lines 1-15; column 6 lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 29 and 30, Pung teaches that said at least one processor includes a network processor or a control processor (paragraph [0040]).

Response to Arguments

5.   Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Agustin Bello
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2613

AB